



Renal function after implantation of the total artificial heart

Keyur B. Shah

The Pauley Heart Center, Virginia Commonwealth University, Richmond, VA, USA

Correspondence to: Keyur B. Shah, MD. David E. Tolman Professor in Heart Failure, The Pauley Heart Center, Virginia Commonwealth University Health, 1200 E. Broad Street, Richmond, VA 23298-0036, USA. Email: keyur.shah@vcuhealth.org.



Submitted Nov 02, 2019. Accepted for publication Jan 06, 2020.

doi: 10.21037/acs.2020.03.04

View this article at: <http://dx.doi.org/10.21037/acs.2020.03.04>

The total artificial heart (TAH) remains a viable and effective option for the treatment for end-stage heart failure as a bridge to heart transplantation. The device is often reserved for the most critically ill patients failing medical therapy, who may not be candidates for immediate transplantation or a left ventricular assist device (LVAD). Expectedly, complications related to bleeding, thrombosis, neurological injury and infection are frequent (1).

An enigma concerning TAH complications, however, is the very high rate of dialysis-dependent renal failure after device implantation, with reported incidence ranging from 19–62% in various reports (2,3). Even when selecting for patients who are not hemodialysis-dependent prior to device implantation, Arabía *et al.* reported from the INTERMACS registry that 29% of patients required *de-novo* hemodialysis (1). At our center, renal failure after TAH implantation is associated with a six-fold increase of death on device (4).

The high incidence of renal dysfunction may be attributable to the extraordinary acuity of illness prior to TAH implantation. Patients are often in cardiogenic shock refractory to intravenous therapies, suffering from acute end-organ dysfunction and requiring devices such as intra-aortic balloon pumps, temporary ventricular assist devices or extracorporeal membrane oxygenation. These portentous clinical characteristics are associated with hypotension and hypoperfusion, anemia, inflammation, oxidative stress, increased venous congestion, and device-related hemolysis, which may predispose one to intra- and post-operative renal injury. However, a clear clinical characterization of the TAH population at risk of renal failure, is lacking.

Irrespective of the acuity of illness, removal of both ventricles results in the abrupt withdrawal of B-type natriuretic peptide (BNP), which may also contribute to additional renal dysfunction. BNP is a cardiac hormone

primarily secreted from ventricular cardiomyocytes in response to cardiac stretch and volume overload. Circulating BNP has several renal modulating effects, including cyclic guanosine monophosphate-mediated arterial vasodilation and suppressive effects on angiotensin II, aldosterone and renin secretion (5). In healthy patients, infusion of nesiritide (synthetic BNP) has been shown to increase renal blood flow and promote diuresis and natriuresis via direct tubular effects (6).

Several small, non-comparative studies have suggested a renal protective effect of exogenous BNP infusion after implantation of a TAH. Delgado first reported on three patients implanted with the AbioCor TAH (AbioMed, Danvers, MA, USA), who exhibited fluctuations in renal function related to dosing of nesiritide (7). In this case series, initiation of BNP infusion at various points after device implantation, even days after surgery, resulted in increased urine output and improved estimated glomerular filtration rate (eGFR) in patients exhibiting diuretic-refractory renal failure. In a 5-patient prospective study, we showed that all patients, after TAH implantation, developed renal dysfunction ($\geq 50\%$ decrease in the eGFR or urine output ≤ 30 mL/h), and infusion of nesiritide increased urine output 3–4 fold without worsening of renal function (8). Spiliopoulos *et al.* demonstrated in consecutive TAH patients that early routine nesiritide infusion initiated in the operating room, and continued for 3–7 days duration, was effective for avoiding post-operative hemodialysis-dependent renal failure in 9 out of 10 patients (9).

On closer examination of the TAH experience at our institution, even with routine infusion of nesiritide after device implantation, the rate of renal failure remained high. Nearly two-thirds of patients required hemodialysis after TAH implantation and one-half of

those experienced delayed recovery. Predictors of post-operative renal failure included pre-operative support with extracorporeal membrane oxygenation, ischemic etiology of heart failure, and low pre-operative eGFR (especially ≤ 30 mL/min/1.73m²) (4). In other words, supplementation with exogenous BNP alone does not seem sufficiently protective in the setting of overwhelming risk factors related to poor renal reserve, systemic ischemic disease or profound shock.

An adequately powered, comparative study of BNP supplementation for patients after TAH implantation has unfortunately never been conducted. Nesiritide, which was initially indicated for the management of acute decompensated heart failure, was heavily criticized in the cardiovascular medical community and eventually, production of the drug was discontinued. Anecdotally, off-label use of low dose angiotensin receptor-neprilysin inhibitors (sacubitril/valsartan) in ambulatory TAH patient has been effective in controlling blood pressure and improving renal function. Neprilysin is an endopeptidase that is responsible for degrading natriuretic peptides, and its inhibition increases circulating BNP concentrations. Currently, there are no clinically available forms of synthetic BNP, however, opportunities exist to further explore the value of endopeptidase inhibition to increase concentration of endogenous circulating BNP.

Preventing renal failure in patients with the TAH will first require a better understanding of who is at risk. Multicenter registries or retrospective analyses that are focused on complications may influence clinical care and guide future study. Moreover, research deepening our understanding of ventriculectomy-related interruption of neuronal and hormonal pathways may help develop mitigating strategies and will be crucial to the successful development and use of any mechanical circulatory support platform that replaces the heart.

Acknowledgments

None.

Footnote

Conflicts of Interest: The author is on the Medical Advisory Board for SynCardia Systems.

References

1. Arabia FA, Cantor RS, Koehl DA, et al. Interagency registry for mechanically assisted circulatory support report on the total artificial heart. *J Heart Lung Transplant* 2018;37:1304-12.
2. Roussel JC, Sénage T, Baron O, et al. CardioWest (Jarvik) total artificial heart: a single-center experience with 42 patients. *Ann Thorac Surg* 2009;87:124-9; discussion 130.
3. Copeland JG, Smith RG, Arabia FA, et al. Total artificial heart bridge to transplantation: a 9-year experience with 62 patients. *J Heart Lung Transplant* 2004;23:823-31.
4. Desai K, Tang DG, Quader M, et al. Predictors of Dialysis Dependent Renal Failure in Patients Requiring Total Artificial Heart Support. *J Heart Lung Transplant*. 2018;37:S117.
5. Ahluwalia A, MacAllister RJ, Hobbs AJ. Vascular actions of natriuretic peptides. Cyclic GMP-dependent and -independent mechanisms. *Basic Res Cardiol* 2004;99:83-9.
6. Holmes SJ, Espiner EA, Richards AM, et al. Renal, endocrine, and hemodynamic effects of human brain natriuretic peptide in normal man. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab* 1993;76:91-6.
7. Delgado R 3rd, Wadia Y, Kar B, et al. Role of B-type natriuretic peptide and effect of nesiritide after total cardiac replacement with the AbioCor total artificial heart. *J Heart Lung Transplant* 2005;24:1166-70.
8. Shah KB, Tang DG, Kasirajan V, et al. Impact of low-dose B-type natriuretic peptide infusion on urine output after total artificial heart implantation. *J Heart Lung Transplant* 2012;31:670-2.
9. Spiliopoulos S, Guersoy D, Koerfer R, et al. B-type natriuretic peptide therapy in total artificial heart implantation: renal effects with early initiation. *J Heart Lung Transplant* 2014;33:662-3.

Cite this article as: Shah KB. Renal function after implantation of the total artificial heart. *Ann Cardiothorac Surg* 2020;9(2):124-125. doi: 10.21037/acs.2020.03.04